UNIVERSITY VIRGINIA

## Annual IRB-SBS Post-Approval Monitoring Report 2024

During the 2023-2024 fiscal year, the IRB-SBS Post-Approval Monitoring and Education Program performed compliance monitoring reviews for randomly selected studies listed as active in iProtocol.

Over the past year, the IRB-SBS had the privilege to support our sister office, the IRB-HSR during a time of team transition for the the last quarter of the 2023 calendar year. Additionally, the IRB-SBS PAM & Ed program welcomes the new Compliance Manager Stephanie Keister, MS, and Ed.D. on July 1st, 2024, following Andrea Ruhsam's move to the HRPP Project Management position. During the 2023-2024 fiscal year, the program created research education materials to support investigators including the development of the Timeline for the Life of a Study for the IRB-SBS homepage, recorded a video on collecting verbal consent for the YouTube channel, and distributing the Quarterly Investigator Newsletters to researchers with active studies in the IRB-SBS database.

## **Successful PI Compliance with Monitoring Deadlines:**

The study teams that were randomly selected for PAM visits this past year were 100% compliant with deadlines. Additionally, 33 of the 34 studies were compliant with the self-assessment deadline and the last was completed within 5 business days of the deadline, due to a PI that serves in a 50% faculty appointment.

## **100% PI Attendance for PAM Visits:**

Principal Investigator involvement in the review process continues to be successful utilizing the remote visit model. In person visits are still offered or may be required if the need is identified.



**24% of Studies were Exceptional** when reviewed and assigned a compliance rating by the PAM Advisory Committee, and the remaining studies received a rating of Satisfactory, with the acceptation of one study that had an unsatisfactory rating, which has since been resolved. The PI for the study that received an unsatisfactory rating was cooperative and compliant with the Corrective Action Plan required by the PAM Advisory Committee, and has subsequently met the requirements for a Satisfactory rating. **4 out of 5 stars:** Overall, study teams reported an average of 4.04 out of 5 stars when asked about their satisfaction with the IRB-SBS review process, and 4.36 out of 5 stars when asked about their satisfaction with IRB-SBS customer service. This is an increase in the satisfaction rating from researchers in comparison to results from prior years.

